

Exercises 13

⑮ $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ s.t. $\phi(1) = \bar{6}$.

$|\bar{6}| = 5$, so $\phi(5n) = (5n) \cdot \bar{6} = \bar{0} \Rightarrow \text{Ker } \phi = \{5n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = 5\mathbb{Z}$.

$\phi(18) = \phi(\underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{18}) = \underbrace{\phi(1) + \dots + \phi(1)}_{18} = \underbrace{\bar{6} + \dots + \bar{6}}_{18} = \underbrace{\bar{6} + \dots + \bar{6}}_{15} + \bar{6} + \bar{6} + \bar{6} = \bar{0} + \bar{6} + \bar{6} + \bar{6} = \bar{8}$.

⑯ $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $\phi(1) = 1$
 $\psi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ " " $\psi(1) = -1$.

⑰ Infinitely many: $\alpha: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $\alpha(1) = n$ for any fixed $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
 is a homomorphism, & it's injective as long as $n \neq 0$.

⑱ None. If $\phi: G \rightarrow G'$ is injective, then $|\phi(G)| = |G|$. But $|\mathbb{Z}| = \infty$
 while $|\mathbb{Z}_2| = 2$, & $2 \neq \infty$.

⑳ Let $x, y \in G$. $\phi_g(xy) = gxy$
 $\phi_g(x)\phi_g(y) = gx \cdot gy$

$gxy = gxgy \Rightarrow xy = xgy \Rightarrow y = gy \Rightarrow e = g$.

Thus this is a homomorphism $\Leftrightarrow g = e$.

㉑ G a cyclic gp, $|G| = p$ prime. Let $\phi: G \rightarrow G'$ be a homomorphism.

Let $a \in G$ be such that $\langle a \rangle = G$.

Consider $\text{Ker } \phi$, which is a subgroup of G . Since all subgps of a cyclic gp are cyclic, $0 \leq s \leq p-1$ s.t. $\text{Ker } \phi = \langle a^s \rangle$. Then $|\text{Ker } \phi| = p / \text{gcd}(s, p)$.

Since p is prime, for any $0 \leq s \leq p-1$, $\text{gcd}(s, p) = p$ or 1 .

Thus $|\text{Ker } \phi| = 1$ or p .

If $|\text{Ker } \phi| = 1$, then $\text{Ker } \phi = \{e\}$, so ϕ is injective.

If $|\text{Ker } \phi| = p$, then $\text{Ker } \phi = G$, so ϕ is trivial.

Additional Exercises:

① (a) H is a plane in \mathbb{R}^3 .

H is a subgp: Simplify equation: $3x + 2y - z = 0$

• Let $(x_1, y_1, z_1), (x_2, y_2, z_2) \in H$. Then

$$3(x_1 + x_2) + 2(y_1 + y_2) - (z_1 + z_2) = 3x_1 + 2y_1 - z_1 + 3x_2 + 2y_2 - z_2 = 0 + 0 = 0$$

$\Rightarrow H$ is closed.

• $3(0) + 2(0) - 0 = 0 \Rightarrow (0, 0, 0) \in H$

• Let $(x, y, z) \in H$. Then $3(-x) + 2(-y) + z = -(3x + 2y - z) = -0 = 0$,
so $(-x, -y, -z) \in H$.

Therefore, $H \leq G$.

(b) algebraic: $K = \{ (na, nb, nc) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \}$

geometric: K is the set of points on the line through $(0, 0, 0)$ & (a, b, c) that are integer multiples of (a, b, c) . Note: K is not the whole line!

(c) $L = \{ (2t, 3t, 5t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R} \}$.

• Yes, $L \leq G$:

- closure: $(2t_1, 3t_1, 5t_1) + (2t_2, 3t_2, 5t_2) = (2(t_1 + t_2), 3(t_1 + t_2), 5(t_1 + t_2)) \in L$.
Since $t_1 + t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

- identity: $(0, 0, 0) \in L$, because it corresponds to $t = 0$.

- inverses: $-(2t, 3t, 5t) = (2(-t), 3(-t), 5(-t)) \in L$ since $-t \in \mathbb{R}$.

* Note: \uparrow is the inverse written additively (like -2 is the inverse of 2 in $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$).

No, L is not a cyclic subgp:

Suppose $L = \langle (a, b, c) \rangle$. Then $2t = na \Rightarrow t = \frac{na}{2}$. Thus $\mathbb{R} = \langle \frac{na}{2} \rangle$

But \mathbb{R} is not cyclic, which is a contradiction.

[solution to #2 is at end.]

③ (a) No such hom. exists. We showed in class that if $\phi: G \rightarrow G'$ is a hom, & $g \in G$, then $|\phi(g)|$ divides $|g|$. Suppose \exists hom $\phi: \mathbb{Z}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_5$. Let $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_3$. If $\bar{a} \neq \bar{0}$, then $|\bar{a}| = 3$. But all $\bar{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_5$ have order 1 or 5, & 5 does not divide 3, so $|\phi(\bar{a})| = 1$. The only elt of order 1 in \mathbb{Z}_5 is $\bar{0}$, so $\phi(\bar{a}) = \bar{0}$, $\forall \bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ (b/c we know $\phi(\bar{0}) = \bar{0}$, since ϕ a hom.). Thus ϕ is trivial.

(b) Define $\phi: \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ by $\phi(\bar{n}) = \bar{3n}$. Note that this is equivalent to the map $\phi(\bar{n}) = \bar{3n}$.

(c) $\phi: \mathbb{Z}_{10} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_8$ defined by $\phi(\bar{n}) = \bar{4n}$. This is the map $\phi(\bar{n}) = \bar{4n}$.

④ There are 2 cases, depending on the order of a in G .

If $|a| = \infty$, then $a^i = a^j \Leftrightarrow i = j$, so ϕ is injective, & $\ker \phi = \{0\}$.

If $|a| = k < \infty$, then $a^i = a^j \Leftrightarrow k \text{ divides } (i-j)$, or, equivalently, $a^n = e \Leftrightarrow n$ is a multiple of k . Thus $\ker \phi = k\mathbb{Z}$.

⑤ Consider the subgp $H = \{e, \rho^2, \tau, \rho^2\tau\} \leq D_4$, & the map

$\phi: D_4 \rightarrow V$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(e) &= e \\ \phi(\rho^2) &= a \\ \phi(\tau) &= b \\ \phi(\rho^2\tau) &= c. \end{aligned}$$

This is a hom. To show this, we will compare gp tables

H:	e	ρ^2	τ	$\rho\tau$
	e	ρ^2	τ	$\rho\tau$
	ρ^2	e	$\rho\tau$	τ
	τ	$\tau\rho\tau$	e	ρ^2
	$\rho^2\tau$	$\rho\tau$	τ	ρ^2

V:	e	a	b	c
	e	a	b	c
	a	e	c	b
	b	b	c	e
	c	e	b	a

As the tables are the same after relabeling by the map ϕ , these are isomorphic.

⑥ $H = \langle i \rangle = \{1, i, -1, -i\}$. Consider the map $\phi: \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow H$ defined by $\phi(1) = i$. We will again compare gp tables

H:	1	i	-1	-i
	1	i	-1	-i
	i	i	-1	-i
	-1	-1	-i	i
	-i	-i	i	-1

\mathbb{Z}_4 :	0	1	2	3
	0	1	2	3
	1	1	2	3
	2	2	3	0
	3	3	0	1

As the tables are the same after relabeling by the map ϕ , these are isomorphic.

⑦ By checking the orders of the elements in Q_8 , we see that $|i|^4 = |-i|^4 = |k|^4 = |-k|^4 = 4$, $|-1|^2 = |j|^2 = |-j|^2 = 2$, & $|1|^2 = 1$, so Q_8 has 4 elts of order 4. However, D_4 only has 2 elts of order 4 (ρ & ρ^3). Since any isomorphism $\phi: Q_8 \rightarrow D_4$ must preserve the orders of the elements (i.e., $\forall g \in Q_8, |g| = |\phi(g)|$), we see that there cannot be any such isomorphism.

Note: I found the orders of the elts in Q_8 simply by multiplying matrices.

⑧ Let $a, b \in \phi(G)$. Then $\exists x, y \in G$ s.t. $\phi(x) = a$ & $\phi(y) = b$. Then $ab = \phi(x)\phi(y) = \phi(xy) = \phi(yx) = \phi(y)\phi(x) = ba$.
 \uparrow
 G is abelian

Thus $\phi(G)$ is abelian.

No, this does not prove H is abelian, b/c ϕ may not be surjective. For example, the map $\phi: \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow D_4$ defined by $\phi(1) = \rho$ is a homomorphism, G is abelian, & $\phi(G) = \langle \rho \rangle$ is abelian, but D_4 is not abelian.

⑨ (\Leftarrow) Suppose $xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \in \ker \phi \forall x, y \in G$, & let $a, b \in \phi(G)$. Then $\exists g, h \in G$ s.t. $\phi(g) = a$ & $\phi(h) = b$.

Since $ghg^{-1}h^{-1} \in \ker \phi$,

$$\begin{aligned}
e &= \mathcal{Q}(ghg^{-1}h^{-1}) \\
e &= \mathcal{Q}(g)\mathcal{Q}(h)\mathcal{Q}(g)^{-1}\mathcal{Q}(h)^{-1} \\
e &= aba^{-1}b^{-1} \\
b &= aba^{-1} \\
ba &= ab.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{Q}(G)$ is abelian.

(\Rightarrow): Suppose $\mathcal{Q}(G)$ is abelian $\hat{=}$ let $g, h \in G$. Then $\mathcal{Q}(g), \mathcal{Q}(h) \in \mathcal{Q}(G)$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q}(g)\mathcal{Q}(h) &= \mathcal{Q}(h)\mathcal{Q}(g) \\
\mathcal{Q}(g)\mathcal{Q}(h)\mathcal{Q}(g)^{-1} &= \mathcal{Q}(h) \\
\mathcal{Q}(g)\mathcal{Q}(h)\mathcal{Q}(g)^{-1}\mathcal{Q}(h)^{-1} &= e \\
\mathcal{Q}(ghg^{-1}h^{-1}) &= e
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $ghg^{-1}h^{-1} \in \text{Ker } \mathcal{Q}$.

- ② (a) Not a hom: let $x, y \in G$. Then $\mathcal{Q}(xy) = (xy)^{-1} = y^{-1}x^{-1}$, while $\mathcal{Q}(x)\mathcal{Q}(y) = x^{-1}y^{-1}$. $y^{-1}x^{-1} \neq x^{-1}y^{-1}$ $\forall x, y \in G$ unless G is abelian, $\hat{=}$ there are non-abelian gps.
- (b) Not a hom: $\mathcal{Q}(e) = -1$, so \mathcal{Q} does not send the identity to the identity. Thus \mathcal{Q} is not a hom.
- (c) Yes, \mathcal{Q} hom: let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^*$. Then $\mathcal{Q}(xy) = |xy| = |x||y| = \mathcal{Q}(x)\mathcal{Q}(y)$.
 $\text{Ker } \mathcal{Q} = \{\pm 1\}$.
- (d) Yes, a hom: let $x, y \in D_4$. There are 3 cases to consider:
 • If $x = \rho^i \hat{=} y = \rho^j$, then $\mathcal{Q}(xy) = \mathcal{Q}(\rho^i \rho^j) = \mathcal{Q}(\rho^{i+j}) = 0 = 0 + 0 = \mathcal{Q}(\rho^i) + \mathcal{Q}(\rho^j)$.
 • If $x = \rho^i \hat{=} y = \rho^j \tau$, then $\mathcal{Q}(xy) = \mathcal{Q}(\rho^i \cdot \rho^j \tau) = \mathcal{Q}(\rho^{i+j} \tau) = 1 = 0 + 1 = \mathcal{Q}(\rho^i) + \mathcal{Q}(\rho^j \tau)$.
 (If $x = \rho^i \tau \hat{=} y = \rho^j$, then $xy = \rho^i \tau \rho^j = \rho^i \rho^{-j} \tau = \rho^{i-j} \tau$, so a similar argument works)
 • If $x = \rho^i \tau \hat{=} y = \rho^j \tau$, then $\mathcal{Q}(xy) = \mathcal{Q}(\rho^i \tau \rho^j \tau) = \mathcal{Q}(\rho^i \rho^{-j} \tau \tau) = \mathcal{Q}(\rho^{i-j}) = 0 = 1 + 1 = \mathcal{Q}(\rho^i \tau) + \mathcal{Q}(\rho^j \tau)$.
- Thus, \mathcal{Q} is a hom.
 $\text{Ker } \mathcal{Q} = \langle \rho \rangle$.
- (e) Not a hom: consider $\bar{5}, \bar{6} \in \mathbb{Z}_7$. Then $\mathcal{Q}(\bar{5} + \bar{6}) = \mathcal{Q}(\bar{4}) = \bar{0}$, but $\mathcal{Q}(\bar{5}) + \mathcal{Q}(\bar{6}) = \bar{1} + \bar{6} = \bar{7} = \bar{0}$, $\hat{=}$ $\bar{1} \neq \bar{0}$.