

Exercises 23

$$\begin{array}{r}
 5x^4 + 5x^2 + 4 \\
 3x^2 + 2x - 3 \overline{) x^6 + 3x^5 + 4x^2 - 3x + 2} \\
 \underline{-(x^6 + 3x^5 - x^4)} \\
 x^4 + 0x^3 + 4x^2 \\
 \underline{-(x^4 + 3x^3 - x^2)} \\
 5x^2 - 3x + 2 \\
 \underline{-(5x^2 + x - 5)} \\
 3x + 3
 \end{array}$$

$$g(x) = 5x^4 + 5x^2 + 4, \quad r(x) = 3x + 3$$

- ⑧ (1)<sup>4</sup> + 4 = 1 + 4 = 0 ⇒ x - 1 is a factor  
 (2)<sup>4</sup> + 4 = 1 + 4 = 0 ⇒ x - 2 is a factor  
 (3)<sup>4</sup> + 4 = 1 + 4 = 0 ⇒ x - 3 is a factor  
 (4)<sup>4</sup> + 4 = 1 + 4 = 0 ⇒ x - 4 is a factor

(note: there are many ways to factor this. For ex,  $x^2 + 4 = x^2 - 1$ , since  $4 \equiv -1 \pmod{5}$ , so it is a difference of perfect squares)

Thus,  $x^4 + 4 = (x-1)(x-2)(x-3)(x-4)$

⑩  $2x^3 + 3x^2 - 7x - 5 = (x-3)(x-4)(x-8)$  (method: guess & check zeros to find linear factors)

⑪ No.  $2^3 + 2 \cdot 2 + 3 = 3 + 4 + 3 = 0 \Rightarrow x - 2$  is a factor.  
 $x^3 + 2x + 1 = (x-2)(x^2 + 2x + 1)$  (by polynomial long division).  
 $= (x-2)(x+1)^2$ . [NOTE: This is equal to  $(x+3)(x+1)^2$ ]

⑫  $f(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by Eisenstein's criteria w/  $p=2$ . Also, if  $f(x)$  has a root in  $\mathbb{Q}$  it has a root in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , which can only be  $\pm 1, \pm 2$ , & one can check that none of these are zeros.

$f(x)$  is reducible over  $\mathbb{R}$ :  $f(x) = x^2 + 8x - 2 = (x + 4 - 3\sqrt{2})(x + 4 + 3\sqrt{2})$   
 thus  $f(x)$  is also reducible over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

⑬ A root in  $\mathbb{Z}$  would have to be  $\pm 1$ , neither of which work. Since a root in  $\mathbb{Q}$  implies a root in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , it follows that  $x^4 + 22x + 1$  has no linear factors.

Suppose  $x^4 + 22x + 1 = (x^2 + bx + c)(x^2 + dx + e)$ , where  $b, c, d, e \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  
 $= x^2 + (d+b)x^3 + (e+c+bd)x^2 + (be+cd)x + ce$

Then  $d+b=0$ ,  $e+c+bd=0$ ,  $be+cd=22$ , &  $ce=1$ .

Then  $d = -b$  &  $c = e = 1$  or  $c = e = -1$ , so  $be + cd = e(b+d) = 0 \neq 22$ , which is a contradiction.

Therefore  $x^4 + 22x + 1$  does not factor over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , & thus it does not factor over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , either, as we proved in class.

⑭ No: the only  $p$  s.t.  $-9, 24, \& 18 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  is  $p=3$  (b/c this is the only prime dividing 9), &  $18 \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ , so Eisenstein's criteria are not satisfied.

⑮ Yes, Eisenstein's criteria are satisfied with  $p=5$ .

⑯ Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ .  $x^p + a$  is reducible over  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x] \Leftrightarrow$  it has a zero. Since  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a ring,  $-a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , &  $(-a)^p + a = -a + a = 0$ . Therefore  $x^p + a$  is reducible for every  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

③  $a_n + a_{n-1}(\frac{1}{a}) + \dots + a_0(\frac{1}{a})^n = a_n + \frac{a_{n-1}}{a} + \dots + \frac{a_0}{a^n}$ . Thus,

$a^n (a_n + a_{n-1}(\frac{1}{a}) + \dots + a_0(\frac{1}{a})^n) = a_n a^n + a_{n-1} a^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0$ , since  $a$  is a zero of  $f(x)$ . Since  $a \neq 0 \in F$  has no zero divisors, it follows that  $a_n + a_{n-1}(\frac{1}{a}) + \dots + a_0(\frac{1}{a})^n = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{a}$  is a zero, as desired.

Exercises 22

⑤ Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_2[x]$  be a polynomial of degree  $\leq 3$ . Then  $f(x) = a_3 x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0$  where  $a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Thus we have two choices (0 or 1) for each  $a_i$ . Therefore there are  $2^4 = 16$  such polynomials.

⑦  $d_2(x^2+3) = (2)^2 + 3 = 4 + 3 = 7$

⑧  $d_i(2x^3 - x^2 + 3x + 2) = 2(i)^3 - (i)^2 + 3(i) + 2 = -2i + 1 + 3i + 2 = i + 3$ .

⑨  $f(x) = x^2 + 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .  
 $f(0) = 0^2 + 1 = 1$   
 $f(1) = 1^2 + 1 = 1 + 1 = 0$  }  $\Rightarrow$  the only zero is 1.

⑩  $f(x)g(x)$ ,  $f(x) = x^3 + 2x^2 + 5$ ,  $g(x) = 3x^2 + 2x$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_7$ .

$a$  is a zero of  $f(x)g(x) \Leftrightarrow a$  is a zero of  $f(x)$  or of  $g(x)$   
 zeros of  $f(x)$ :  $f(0) \neq 0, f(1) \neq 0, f(2) = 1 + 4 + 5 = 0, f(3) = 6 + 4 + 5 \neq 0,$   
 $f(4) = 1 + 4 + 5 \neq 0, f(5) = 6 + 1 + 5 \neq 0, f(6) = 6 + 2 + 5 \neq 0$   
 $\Rightarrow$  zero of  $f(x)$  is 2.

zeros of  $g(x)$ :  $g(x) = x(3x + 2)$   $g(0) = 0, g(1) = 3 + 2 \neq 0, g(2) = 2 \cdot 1 \neq 0, g(3) = 3 \cdot 4 \neq 0$   
 $g(4) = 4 \cdot 0 = 0, g(5) = 5 \cdot 3 \neq 0, g(6) = 6 \cdot 6 \neq 0$   
 $\Rightarrow$  zero of  $g(x)$  are 0 & 4

So the zeros of  $f(x)g(x)$  are 0, 2, & 4.

⑭ Suppose  $D$  is an integral domain. Let  $f(x), g(x) \in D[x]$  be such that  $f(x) \neq 0$ , and  $g(x) \neq 0$ . We will show  $f(x)g(x) \neq 0$ , which proves the result. Let  $f(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$  &  $g(x) = b_m x^m + \dots + b_1 x + b_0$ ,  $b_i, a_i \in D$ ,  $a_n \neq 0$  &  $b_m \neq 0$ .

Then  $f(x)g(x) = (\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i) (\sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j)$   
 $= \sum_{k=0}^{n+m} (\sum_{l=0}^k a_l b_{k-l}) x^k$

So the coefficient of  $x^{n+m}$  in  $f(x)g(x)$  is  $a_n b_m$ . Since  $a_n \neq 0$  &  $b_m \neq 0$ , the fact that  $D$  is an integral domain implies that  $a_n b_m \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $f(x)g(x) \neq 0$ .

25 (a) Units in  $D[x]$  are units in  $D$ : if the degree of  $f(x) \in D[x]$  is  $\geq 1$ , then for any  $g(x) \in D[x] \setminus \{0\}$ , the degree of  $f(x)g(x) \geq \deg(f(x)) \geq 1$ , so  $f(x)g(x) \neq 1$ . Thus if  $f(x)$  is a unit in  $D[x]$ , we must have  $f(x) \in D \Rightarrow f(x)$  is a unit in  $D$ . Conversely, if  $a \in D$  is a unit, then  $\exists b \in D$  s.t.  $ab = 1_D$ . Since  $1_{D[x]} = 1_D$ ,  $a$  is a unit in  $D[x]$ .

(b) Units in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  are  $\pm 1$

(c) Units in  $\mathbb{Z}_7[x]$  are  $1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$  (since 7 is prime,  $\mathbb{Z}_7$  is a field, so every non-zero elt is a unit).

29 It is clear that  $R^R$  is closed under both  $+$  &  $\cdot$ .

$(R^R, +)$  is an abelian gp:

- assoc: Let  $\phi, \psi, \theta: R \rightarrow R$ . Then for any  $r \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [(\phi + \psi) + \theta](r) &= (\phi + \psi)(r) + \theta(r) = (\phi(r) + \psi(r)) + \theta(r) \\ &= \phi(r) + (\psi(r) + \theta(r)) \text{ because } (R, +) \text{ is a gp} \\ &= \phi(r) + (\psi + \theta)(r) = [(\phi + (\psi + \theta))](r). \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

-  $+$  is commutative: Let  $\phi, \psi: R \rightarrow R$ . Then  $\forall r \in R$ ,

$$(\phi + \psi)(r) = \phi(r) + \psi(r) = \psi(r) + \phi(r) = (\psi + \phi)(r) \quad \checkmark$$

$\uparrow$  b/c  $(R, +)$  abel. gp

- identity: Let  $0: R \rightarrow R$  be defined by  $0(r) = 0 \forall r \in R$ . Then for any  $\psi: R \rightarrow R$ ,  $(0 + \psi)(r) = 0(r) + \psi(r) = 0 + \psi(r) = \psi(r)$ , so  $0$  is the identity.

- inverses: Let  $\phi \in R^R$ , & define  $(-\phi): R \rightarrow R$  by  $(-\phi)(r) = -\phi(r)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } (\phi + (-\phi))(r) &= \phi(r) + (-\phi)(r) = \phi(r) - \phi(r) = 0 \quad \forall r \in R, \text{ so} \\ \phi + (-\phi) &= 0 \quad \checkmark \text{ } -\phi \text{ is the inverse of } \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(R, +)$  is an abel. gp

• Associativity of  $\cdot$ : Let  $\phi, \psi, \theta \in R^R$ . Then  $\forall r \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi(\psi\theta))(r) &= \phi(r)(\psi\theta)(r) = \phi(r)[\psi(r)\theta(r)] \\ &= [\phi(r)\psi(r)]\theta(r) \text{ b/c } (R, +, \cdot) \text{ is a ring.} \\ &= (\phi\psi)(r)\theta(r) \\ &= ((\phi\psi)\theta)(r) \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

• Left distributivity: Let  $\phi, \psi, \theta \in R^R$ . Then  $\forall r \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [\phi(\psi + \theta)](r) &= \phi(r) \cdot (\psi + \theta)(r) \\ &= \phi(r)(\psi(r) + \theta(r)) \\ &= \phi(r)\psi(r) + \phi(r)\theta(r) \text{ b/c } R \text{ is a ring} \end{aligned}$$

• Right dist. is similar

Therefore,  $(R^R, +, \cdot)$  is a ring.

30 (a) We first show  $P_F$  is a subgp of  $F^F$ :

• closed: Let  $\phi, \psi \in P_F$ . Then  $\exists f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$  s.t.  $\phi(a) = f(a) \quad \checkmark$

$$\psi(a) = g(a) \quad \forall a \in F. \text{ Thus } \forall a \in F,$$

$$(\phi + \psi)(a) = \phi(a) + \psi(a) = f(a) + g(a) = (f + g)(a). \text{ Since } (f + g)(x) \in F[x],$$

$$\phi + \psi \in P_F.$$

• identity: The identity (under  $+$ ) of  $F^F$  is the zero map  $0: F \rightarrow F$ , as shown in #29. This map clearly agrees with the zero polynomial, so  $0 \in P_F$ .

• inverses: Let  $\phi \in P_F$ . Then  $\exists f(x) \in F[x]$  s.t.  $\phi(a) = f(a) \quad \forall a \in F$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{The inverse of } \phi \text{ in } F^F \text{ is } -\phi, \text{ as shown in \#29. } \forall a \in F, \\ (-\phi)(a) = -\phi(a) = -f(a) = (-f)(a). \text{ Since } -f(x) \in F[x], \text{ } -\phi \in P_F. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $P_F$  is a subring of  $F^F$ .

To show  $P_F$  is a subring, we must show it is closed under  $\cdot$ .

Let  $\varphi, \psi \in P_F$ . Then  $\exists f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$  s.t.  $\varphi(a) = f(a) \stackrel{!}{=} \psi(a) = g(a) \quad \forall a \in F$ . Thus  $\forall a \in F$ ,

$$(\varphi\psi)(a) = \varphi(a)\psi(a) = f(a)g(a) = (fg)(a). \text{ Since } fg(x) \in F[x],$$

$$\varphi\psi \in P_F.$$

Therefore,  $P_F$  is a subring of  $F^F$ .

③ (a)  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ :  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  has 2 elements,  $\bar{0} \stackrel{!}{=} \bar{1}$ . An elt  $\varphi \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  can map each of  $\bar{0} \stackrel{!}{=} \bar{1}$  to either  $\bar{0}$  or  $\bar{1}$ . So we have 2 choices for each  $\bar{0} \stackrel{!}{=} \bar{1}$ , which gives 4 total choices for  $\varphi$ . Thus  $|\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathbb{Z}_2}| = 4$ .

[Note: we do not require  $\varphi$  to be a ring hom. in the definition of  $F^F$ ]

$\mathbb{Z}_3^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$ : By a similar reasoning, we have 3 choices for where an elt  $\psi \in \mathbb{Z}_3^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$  can map each of  $\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \stackrel{!}{=} \bar{2}$ . Thus  $|\mathbb{Z}_3^{\mathbb{Z}_3}| = 9$ .